Analysis of Saints Names Correlations across the Three Sources

The Consolidated List of Saints Names from **Source A** (compiled predominantly from Wikipedia), Source B (**Frieze**) and Source C (**Calendar**) has been arranged by where each name has appeared within combinations of Sources. Analysis of correlations is possible as each name appears in only one of the four Sets of combinations.

Set 1	in Source A, on Frieze & in Calendar
Set 2	not in Source A, on Frieze & in Calendar
Set 3	in Source A, not on Frieze but in Calendar
Set 4	in Source A, not on Frieze & not in Calendar

Numbers of Saints names in Sets											
Set 1 =	50	Set 2 =	62	Set 3 =	10	Set 4 =	12	Saints names total (Sets 1 to 4) =			
On Frieze (Set 1 + 2)		112	In Calendar (Sets 1 to 3)				122	In Source A (Set 1 + 3 + 4)			
Not on Frieze (Set 3 + 4)		22	Not in Calendar (Set 4)				12	Not in Source A (Set 2)		62	
Correlations: On Frieze to total			to total	84%		In Calenda	ar to total	91%	In Source A to total	54%	

Saints Names for this analysis came from three sources which themselves were generally compiled from other sources. For the time period under consideration it is perhaps not surprising that there are limited traces back to prime sources. Recording tends to lack historical rigour, there are apparent inaccuracies, some information is uncorroborated and other data from what we know now is simply wrong. So, for an initial broad based view of the numbers above there are few surprises looking at the correlations across the sources.

Although the start point in considering Saints of Scotland and their Scottish Connections was Source A, it has now served a further purpose prompting comparison across Sources. For example, just over half of the Saints Names in Source A have been found on the Frieze in Westminster Cathedral.